



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING I	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/912,704	07/25/2001		Michael J. Skoglund	5577A	5863
7	7590	05/29/2003			
David L. Hedden				EXAMINER	
Ashland Inc. P.O. Box 2219			WYROZEBSKI LEE, KATARZYNA I		
Columbus, OH 43216				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1714	7
				DATE MAILED: 05/29/2003	<b>ノ</b>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			AS-3				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/912,704	SKOGLUND, MICHAEL J.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee	1714				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, at period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the control of the contro	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.	;				
3)	Since this application is in condition for all						
Disposit	closed in accordance with the practice und ion of Claims	der Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11,	400 O.G. 215.				
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the applica	ation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar ion Papers	nd/or election requirement.					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* (	3. Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).				
	<ul> <li>The translation of the foreign language</li> <li>Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom</li> </ul>	• •					
Attachmen	at(s)						
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	5) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				
C Datast and T	rademark Office		<del></del>				

Application/Control Number: 09/912,704

Art Unit: 1714

## **Double Patenting**

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

2. Claims 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 21-26 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,426,374. This is a double patenting rejection.

Claims 21-26 of the present invention are duplicate claims of the already patented US. 6,426,374.

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/912,704

Art Unit: 1714

4. Claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 13-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3-7, 9-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,426,374. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the following explanation.

The patented invention '374 discloses composition for foundry molds, which contains binder and divalent sulfur additive. The foundry binder is utilized in cold-box foundry with phenolic urethane binder system. The mix is introduced into the shape to make mold, an internal core, cured and removed from the shape. The internal core is introduces into casting asse,by, liquid metal, aluminum, is poured and allowed to solidify thereby forming metallic part or object.

The difference between the present invention and the already patented invention is the type of the divalent sulfur source.

Already patented invention '374 discloses use of elemental sulfur, while present invention teaches use of thiuram. Theretore only those claims of the present invention are rejected over the patented invention that do not specifically disclose the divalent sulfur compound.

The search for the prior art conducted during the examination of this application has not provided any references that would teach the present invention, however, due to double patenting rejection, all claims currently stand rejected.

Application/Control Number: 09/912,704

Art Unit: 1714

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee whose telephone number is (703) 306-5875. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:30 AM-4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (703) 306-2777. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Kalaryro liporiblulier

May 27, 2003